

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

DATE MAILED: 04/13/2005

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/019,592	12/26/2001	Roberto Colle	9728.242USWO 8738	
23552	7590 04/13/2005	•	EXAMINER	
MERCHAN P.O. BOX 290	T & GOULD PC		CORBIN, A	RTHUR L
	JIS, MN 55402-0903		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	,		1761	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

PTOL-326 (Rev. 1-04)	Office Action Sun	nmary	Part of Paper No./Mail Date 040605		
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing F Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO Paper No(s)/Mail Date U.S. Patent and Trademark Office		4) Interview Summar Paper No(s)/Mail [5) Notice of Informal 6) Other:	ry (PTO-413) Date Patent Application (PTO-152)		
Attachment(s)					
* See the attached detailed Offi	ce action for a list of the c	ertified copies not receiv	ved.		
application from the In	ternational Bureau (PCT	Rule 17.2(a)).			
2. ☐ Certified copies of the 3. ☒ Copies of the certified	priority documents have copies of the priority docu				
<u> </u>	priority documents have				
a)⊠ All b)□ Some * c)□ No		under 33 U.S.C. 9 119(a)-(u) UI (I).		
12)⊠ Acknowledgment is made of	a claim for foreign priority	under 35 II S.C. 2 440/	(a) (d) or (f)		
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			 -		
11) The oath or declaration is obj					
. Applicant may not request that a			ee 37 CFR 1.85(a). objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).		
10) The drawing(s) filed on					
9) The specification is objected					
Application Papers	•				
8)□ Claim(s) are subject t	o restriction and/or election	on requirement.	<i>*</i>		
7) Claim(s) is/are object		,	·		
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>10-15 and 17</u> is/are		,			
5) Claim(s) is/are allowe		i consideration.			
4) Claim(s) <u>10-15 and 17</u> is/are 4a) Of the above claim(s)					
Disposition of Claims			,		
	p.a under Ex purto				
closed in accordance with th					
3) Since this application is in co	<i>,</i> —		rosportion as to the morte is		
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>12-29-04,02-23-05</u> . 2a)⊠ This action is FINAL . 2b)□ This action is non-final.					
<u> </u>	/ > 61 / 20 == =				
If the period for reply specified above is less the If NO period for reply is specified above, the mean of Failure to reply within the set or extended perion of Any reply received by the Office later than three earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR	nan thirty (30) days, a reply within the naximum statutory period will apply a od for reply will, by statute, cause the ee months after the mailing date of the	ind will expire SIX (6) MONTHS fro e application to become ABANDON	om the mailing date of this communication. NED (35 U.S.C. § 133).		
THE MAILING DATE OF THIS CO Extensions of time may be available under the after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of	OMMUNICATION. provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In r of this communication.	no event, however, may a reply be	timely filed		
Period for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PE	BIOD EOD DEDI V IS SE	T TO EYDIDE A MONTH	L(S) EDOM		
The MAILING DATE of this of			I		
	- Lxaiii	· L. Corbin	1761		
Office Action Summ			Art Unit		
		19,592	COLLE ET AL.		
	Appli	cation No.	Applicant(s)		

Application/Control Number: 10/019,592 Page 2

Art Unit: 1761

1. A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on February 23, 2005 has been entered.

- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 3. Claims 10-15 and 17 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Miskewitz (5,629,035) in view of Yang et al (EP 0,202,819).

Applicant is referred to the reasoning set forth in paragraph no. 5, Paper No. 012104.

4. Applicant's arguments filed December 29, 2004 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. Applicant's reliance upon the article submitted December 29, 2004 is not convincing. The article is not in English and cannot be understood. Further, there is no comparison presented with the closest prior art, viz. Miskewitz.

According to col. 6, lines 59-64 of Miskewitz, an abrasive material, e.g. silica, can be included in addition to the bicarbonate component. This renders it obvious to combine the silica and bicarbonate, both of which would then obviously be

Art Unit: 1761

encapsulated with the alginate salt (col. 5, lines 34-37) since the bicarbonate itself is disclosed as being encapsulated.

5. All claims are drawn to the same invention claimed in the application prior to the entry of the submission under 37 CFR 1.114 and could have been finally rejected on the grounds and art of record in the next Office action if they had been entered in the application prior to entry under 37 CFR 1.114. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL** even though it is a first action after the filing of a request for continued examination and the submission under 37 CFR 1.114. See MPEP § 706.07(b). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

6. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Arthur L. Corbin whose telephone number is (571) 272-1399. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Friday from 10:30 am to 8:00 pm.

Application/Control Number: 10/019,592

Art Unit: 1761

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Milton Cano can be reached on (571) 272-1398. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

A. Corbin/af April 8, 2005

ARTHUR L. CORBIN PRIMARY EXAMINER

Page 4

4/12-05